Available online on 15.12.2024 at http://ajprd.com

Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research and Development

Open Access to Pharmaceutical and Medical Research

Open Access **Research Article**

Formulation and Evaluation of Atenolol Orodispersible Tablets

Mohammed Mahboob Shareef, Ruhi Anjum, Prasanthi D

Department of Pharmacy, Osmania University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

A B S T R A C T

The main objective of this study was to prepare and evaluate fast dissolving tablets of Atenolol using three different types of superdisintegrants. Various formulations were prepared by direct compression using different concentrations of superdisintegrant i.e. namely Kyron T-104, Kyron T-154 and Kyron T-314. The compatibility studies between drug and excipients were carried out using FTIR spectroscopy. The blend was evaluated for additive properties. The tablets were evaluated for physical properties and in vitro drug release. From this study formulation containing Kyron T-154 was found to possess better disintegration time of 15 secs, water absorption ratio (77.5 %), and wetting time (22.7 sec) with taste masking property at low concentration. An accelerated stability study on optimized formulation was performed as per ICH guidelines. It was found to be stable, with insignificant changes in the hardness, disintegration time, and in-vitro drug release pattern.

Key Words: Superdisintegrants, FTIR spectroscopy, Kyron T-154, Atenolol

A R T I C L E I N F O: Received 12 July 2024; Review Complete 24 Sept. 2024; Accepted 20 Nov. 2024. ; Available online 15 Dec. 2024

Cite this article as:

Shareef MM, Anjum R, Prasanthi D, Formulation and Evaluation of Atenolol Orodispersible Tablets, Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research and Development. 2024; 12(6):25-30. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22270/ajprd.v12i6.1454

*Address for Correspondence: Ruhi Anjum, Osmania University, Hyderabad, Telangana, India.

INTRODUCTION

Oral medication conveyance is as of now the best quality level in the pharmaceutical business where it is viewed as the most secure, the most advantageous and most temperate technique for medication conveyance with the most noteworthy patient consistence [1,2].

Orodispersible tablets (ODTs) are being named as orodispersible, rapid-dissolving, mouth-dissolving, rapid-disintegrating tablets.Orodispersible tablets when placed in the mouth they disperse fast before being swallowed and these are uncoated tablets. When the disintegration tests have been conducted up to test for disintegration of tablets it disintegrates within 180 seconds [3,4].ODTs have thrived tremendously as an advantageous, safe, and satisfactory option in contrast to traditional tablets and capsules. The business achievement and reasonability of such items requires the improvement of the formulationwith incredible attractiveness such as disintegration time, physicochemical dependability, and pharmacokinetic profiles which ought to be relevant and bioequivalent to oral dosage form [5].

Superdisintegrants are another variant of super-retaining materials with customized swelling properties. These materials are not wanted to assimilate noteworthy measures of water or watery liquids, however, intended to swell quickly. Superdisintegrants are utilized for the disintegrable solid dosage form as an auxiliary weakener [6]. The formulated tablet and to evaluate all the parameters such as weight variation,hardness friability,drug content,wetting time, water absorption ratio, in-vitro disintegration time, in-vitro drug release.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Material

Atenolol was received from Suven life sciences, Kyron T-314, Kyron T-154, KyronT-104 was received from Corel Pharm chem., Ahmadabad, PVP K30 was procured from Yarrow chem. Mumbai, magnesium stearate and Talc was received from SD fine Chem.Pvt.Lmt.Mumbai.

Method

PREPARATION OF ATENOLOL ODTS

All ingredients were triturated individually in a mortar and passed through 60 sieve. Then required quantity of all ingredients were weighed for a batch size of 50 tablets and mixed uniformly in a mortar except talc and magnesium stearate. Finally, magnesium stearate and talc were added as

lubricant. This uniformly mixed blend was compressed in to tablets containing 20mg drug using 6mm flat face surface punches on a Rimek-1 rotary tablet machine by direct compression method. Total weight of tablet was kept 100mg.

Table 1: Formulation table for Atenolol tablets

S.no	Ingedients (mg)	F1	F2	F3	F4	F5	F6	F7	F8	F9
1.	Atenolol	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
2.	Kyron-T-104	1.5	2.0	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-
3.	Kyron-T-154	-	-	-	1.5	2.0	2.5	-	-	-
4.	Kyron-T-314	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.5	2.0	2.5
5.	Microcrystalline Cellulose	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45	45
6.	Mannitol	94	94	94	94	94	94	94	94	94
7.	Aspartame	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6

Drug- excipients compatibility study by FTIR

The spectrum analysis of pure drug and physical mixture of drug and different excipients which are used for preparation of tablets was studied by FTIR. FTIR spectra were recorded by preparing potassium bromide (KBr) disks using a Shimadzu Corporation (Koyto, Japan) facilty (model - 8400S). Potassium bromide (KBr) disks were prepared by mixing few mg of sample with potassium bromide by compacting in a hydrostatic press under vacuum at 6-8 tons pressure. The resultant disc was mounted in a suitable holder in IR spectrophotometer and the IR spectrum was recorded from 4000 cm^{-1} to 500 cm^{-1} in a scan time of 12 minutes. The resultant spectrum was compared for any spectral changes. They were observed for the presence of characteristic peaks for the respective functional group in the compound.

In vitro evaluation of powder blends

Angle of repose

This is the maximum angle possible between the surface pile of powder and horizontal plane. The frictional forces in the lose powder can be measured by angle of repose. The tangent of angle of repose is equal to the co-efficient friction (μ) between the particles. Hence the rougher & more irregular the surface of particles the greater will be angle of repose.100 gms of the blend was accurately weighed and carefully poured through the funnel whose tip was secured at a height of 2.5 cm above the graph paper which is placed on a horizontal surface. The blend was poured until the apex of the conical pile just touches the tip of the funnel. Angle of repose is calculated by the following formula.

$\theta = \tan^{-1}(h/r)$

Where, θ = angle of repose, r=radius of the pile, h=height of the pile,

Bulk density

Bulk density is defined as a mass of a powder divided by the bulk volume. Apparent bulk density (*b) was determined by pouring the blend into a graduated cylinder. The bulk volume (V*) and weight of the powder (M) was determined. The bulk density was calculated using the formula.

b=M/V

Tapped density

The measuring cylinder containing a known mass of blend was tapped for a fixed time (around 250). The minimum volume (V_t) occupied in the cylinder and the weight (M) of the blend was measured. The tapped density (*t) was calculated using the formula

***t=M/V_t**

Compressibility index

The simplest way for measurement of free flow of powder is compressibility, a indication of the ease with which a material can be induced to flow is given by compressibility index (C.I) which is calculated using the formula,

C.I (%) = $Tapped\ density - bulk\ density$/Tapped density*100

Hausner ratio

Hausner ratio is an indirect index of ease of powder flow. It was calculated by the using the formula,

Hausner ratio = *t/*d

Where *t=tapped density.*d=bulk density

In vitro evaluation of the prepared tablets

Weight variation

Twenty tablets were randomly selected and average weight was determined. Then individual tablets were weighed and percent deviation from the average was calculated.

Thickness

Control of physical dimensions of the tablets such as size and thickness is essential for consumer acceptance and tablet-tablet uniformity. The diameter size and punch size of tablets depends on the die and punches selected for making the tablets. The thickness of tablet is measured by screw gauge. The thickness of the tablet related to the tablet hardness and can be used an initial control parameter. Tablet thickness should be controlled within a ± 5% variation of a standard

value. In addition, thickness must be controlled to facilitate packaging.

The thickness in millimeters (mm) was measured individually for 10 pre weighed tablets by using screw gauge. The average thickness and standard deviation were reported.

Hardness

The strength of tablet is expressed as tensile strength (Kg/cm^2). The tablet crushing load, which is the force required to break a tablet into pieces by compression. It was measured using a tablet hardness tester (Monsanto hardness tester). Three tablets from each formulation batch were tested randomly and the average reading noted.

Friability

Friability of the tablets was determined using Roche Friabilator (Electrolab, India). This device consists of a plastic chamber that is set to revolve around 25 rpm for 4 minutes dropping the tablets at a distance of 6 inches with each revolution. Preweighed sample of 20 tablets was placed in the friabilator and were subjected to 100 revolutions. Tablets were dusted using a soft muslin cloth and reweighed. The friability (F %) is given by the formula

$$F\ \% = (1-W_0/W)\times 100$$

Where, W_0 is weight of the tablets before the test and W is the weight of the tablets after test

Wetting time

Five circular tissue papers of 10-cm diameter were placed in a petri dish with a 10-cm diameter. 10 ml of water at $37^0C \pm 0.5^0C$ containing eosin, a water-soluble dye, was added to the petri dish. A tablet was carefully placed on the surface of tissue paper. The time required for water to reach the upper surface of the tablets was noted as the wetting time. Six tablets from each formulation batch were tested randomly and the average reading noted.

Swelling index

Swelling index is the volume in milliliters that is occupied by 1 gm of drug after it has swollen in an aqueous liquid for 4 h. The methods of studying swelling index for Kyron T-314, and Kyron T-154 were carried out. Swelling index was calculated from mean readings of three determinations [8].

Water absorption ratio

A piece of tissue paper folded twice was placed in a small Petri dish containing 6 ml of water. A tablet was put on the paper and the time required for complete wetting was measured. The wetted tablet was then weighed.

Water absorption ratio R, was determined using following equation

$$R = W_a - W_b / W_b \times 100$$

Where W_a = weight of tablet after absorption

W_b = weight of tablet before absorption

Drug content

Weighed tablets (5) were powdered using a glass mortar and pestle. An accurately weighed quantity of powder equivalent to 20 mg of atenolol was taken into 100 ml volumetric flask, dissolved in pH 4.0 citrate buffer and the solution was filtered through whatman filter paper. The filtrate was collected and suitably diluted with pH 4.0 citrate buffer and assayed [9].

Invitro disintegration time

Disintegration time (DT) was measured using a modified disintegration method. For this purpose, a petri dish was filled with 10 ml of water at 37^0 C±0.5^0C. The tablet was carefully put in the centre of the petridish and the time for the tablet to completely disintegrate into fine particles was noted.

In-vitro release

In-vitro drug release of atenolol orodispersible tablets was determined using USP Dissolution Apparatus II (Paddle type) (Electrolab TDT-08L). The dissolution test was performed using 900 ml pH 4.0 acetate buffer at $37^0C \pm 0.5^0C$. The speed of rotation of paddle was set at 50 rpm. 5 ml samples were withdrawn at time points of 1, 3, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20min and same volume was replaced with fresh media. Absorbance of solution was checked by UV spectrophotometer (ELICO- 164 double beam spectrophotometer, Hyderabad, India) at a wavelength of 227 nm and drug release was determined from standard curve.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION



Figure la: IR spectra of Atenolol



Figure 1b: IR of Atenolol + Kyron-T-104



Figure 1c: IR of Atenolol + Kyron-T-154



Figure 1d: FTIR of Atenolol + Kyron-T-314

In the present studies atenolol fast dissolving tablets were prepared using three type of superdisintegrants (Kyron T-104, Kyron T-154, Kyron T-314). IR spectroscopic studies revealed that drug was compatible with all the excipients (Fig 1a, 1b, 1c, 1d)

Evaluation of powder blend

The blends of all the batches were evaluated for different parameters.Angle of repose was found to be between 25.67 and 30.64. Tapped density between 0.63 and 0.76 (gm/cc) and bulk density was found to be between 0.55 and 0.64 (gm/cc). Hausner's ratio ranged between 1.01-1.09. Carr's Index was found to be in between 6-14. All the formulations showed good blend properties for direct compression technology.

Evaluation of oro-dispersible tablets

The blend of all the batches were evaluated for parameters like angle of repose was found to be between 25.67 and 30.64. Bulk density was found to be between 0.55 and 0.64 (gm/cc) and tapped density between 0.63 and 0.76 (gm/cc). Carr's Index was found to be in between 8 – 17, Hausner's ratio ranged between 1.09 and 1.20. All the formulations showed good blend properties for direct compression technology Results for hardness, friability, content uniformity and disintegration time are indicated in table 3 and were found to be well within the limits. The hardness of the tablets was observed to be in the range of 2.2 to 3.5 kg/cm^2 and friability was observed to be in the range of 0.2 to 0.8% which showed good mechanical resistance. The drug content was observed to be in the range 98.95±1.23 to 99.95±1.43. In the development of oro-dispersible tablets the most important factor that should be evaluated is DT. In the present studies all the formulated have shown DT in the range of 27 to 4sec. The formulation containing Kyron T-104 and Kyron T-154 at 2 % concentration showed DT within the limit i.e., 21 and 15 secs respectively and the formulation containing Kyron T-314 as a disintegrant showed DT of 10 secs at same concentration. Kyron T-314 used in oral pharmaceutical formulation (Suspension, Dry syrup, Mouth dissolving tablet / Dispersible tablet / Chewable tablet) is an anion exchange resin and when the tablet comes in contact with aqueous medium it swells because of the penetration of the aqueous medium which replace the air adsorbed on the particles, weakens the intermolecular bonds and breaks the tablet into fine particles [11].

The Wetting time and disintegration time decreases with increase in the concentration of superdisintegrants.The wetting time was in the range of 27 to 9 secs. Water

absorption ratio was performed for ensuring the moisture sorption and water uptake properties of superdisintegrants[12, 11]. The water absorption ratio of the formulated tablets were found in the range of 63.9 to 99.9%.- The in-vitro drug release studies were performed for the formulations prepared using three different superdisintegrants (Kyron T-104, Kyron T-154, Kyron T-314), drug concentration was calculated from the standard calibration curve.The percent drug release of all the batches were found within the limit as depicted in table 2. As Kyron T-104 andKyron T-154 acts as taste masking agent for the bitter drug like atenolol and also has superdisintegrant property so among this two type one can be taken as optimized formulation and asper the studies performedKyron T-154 at 2% shows all the parameters well within the limit thus this can be selected as optimized formulation without further increase in the concentration of Kyron T-154 (2.5%).

Table 2: Dissolution studies of Atenolol using three different superdisintegrants

Run	Time in min		
	1min	**3 min**	**5 min**
1	67.5±0.99	88.2±1.64	93.4±0.52
2	100	-	-
3	90.0±1.56	98.6±0.45	-
4	81.3±0.75	88.2±1.64	96.92±0.21
5	88.0±1.64	96.9±0.21	-
6	77.8±0.41	84.0±0.24	95.1±1.21
7	88.2±1.64	90.0±1.56	98.6±0.45
8	88.2±1.64	96.6±0.21	-
9	76.1±0.12	84.0±0.24	93.4±0.52

Note: Values are expressed as Mean ±SD, n=3

Table 3: Evaluation of tablets

Run	Weight variation (%)	Hardness (kg/cm^2)	Friability (% w/w)	Drug content (%)	Water absorption ratio (%)	Wetting time(sec)
1	99±1.63	3.5±0.19	0.5	98.97±1.47	63.9±0.45	27.7±0.5
2	100±1.53	2.6±0.36	0.4	99.95±1.43	99.9±0.16	9±0.21
3	100±1.29	2.4±0.81	0.3	99.87±1.05	87.0±0.37	15±0.8
4	100±1.42	2.3±0.01	0.7	98.95±1.23	81.41±0.97	17.7±0.58
5	100±1.52	2.6±0.16	0.4	99.58±1.32	77.5±0.89	22.7±0.5
6	95.5±0.21	2.2±0.14	0.8	99.45±1.89	55.7±0.56	23±0.17
7	100±1.21	2.5±0.17	0.2	99.95±1.43	90.8±0.45	19.7±0.58
8	100±1.45	2.4±0.02	0.5	99.47±1.56	83.0±1.32	13±0.62
9	98.6±1.63	2.3±0.94	0.8	99.31±1.29	99.0±4.03	25±0.17

All the values are expressed as Mean ±SD

CONCLUSION

In the present study, orally dispersible tablets of atenolol were prepared by direct compression technique. The prepared tablets were found to be within the official limits with respect to all the parameters of evaluation. The disintegration time and dissolution studies were performed for the 1-9 runs. All the formulations showed the optimum disintegration time and cumulative percentage drug release within minutes. But formulation batch 5was found to be less friable and also require less concentration of Kyron T-154 and which acts as both superdisintegrant and taste masking agent. Therefore batch 5 was most robust formulation and considered to be optimized batch.

REFERENCES

1. Parkash V, Maan S, Yadav KS, Yadav SK, Hemlata, et al. (2011) Fast disintegrating tablets: Opportunity in drug delivery system. J Adv Pharm Technol Res 2: 223-35.
2. Bhushan SY, Sambhaji SP, Anant RP, Mahadik KR (2003) New drug delivery system for elderly. Indian Drugs 37: 312-8
3. Nagar P, Singh K, Chauhan I, Verma M, Yasir M, et al. (2011) Orally disintegrating tablets: formulation, preparation techniques and evaluation. J Appl Pharm Sci 1: 35-45.
4. Kumar SV, Gavaskar B, Sharan G, Rao YM (2010) Overview on fast dissolving films. Int J Pharmacy Pharm Sci 2: 29-33.
5. Douroumis D. (2011) Orally disintegrating dosage forms and tastemasking technologies. Expert Opin Drug Deliv 8:665-75.
6. Omidian H and Park K (2008) Swelling agents and devices in oral drug delivery. Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology 18 (2): 83-93.
7. Frijlink HW (2003) Benefits of different drug formulations in psychopharmacology. EurNeuropsychopharmacol 13 Suppl 3: S77-84,
8. ShashankChaturvedia, Vipin Kumar Agrawala, Sunil Singhb (2012) Impact of superdisintegrants on the release of oro-dispersible tablets of

losartan potassium: A comparative analysis DerPharmacia Lettre, 4 (6):1768-1776
9. K. P. R. Chowdary, K. Ravi Shankar, V. V. L. S. P. Sowjanya. Optimization of irbesartan tablet formulation by 2^3 factorial design International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical Research Vol 7, Issue 1, 2015.
10. Avula and Veesam (2013)Influence of dependent variables on granule formulation using factorial design: microwave irradiation as one of the factor. International Current Pharmaceutical Journal, June, 2(7): 115-118.
11. P. K. Lakshmi, Y. Narendra, SwathiLathaRewanthwar, V. Neeharika (2013) comparative evaluation of natural and synthetic superdisintegrants in the formulation of fast dissolving tablets Turk J Pharm Sci 10(3), 351-366.
12. Gattu J, Lakshmi P. Comparative evaluation of natural and synthetic superdisintegrants with newer superdisintegrant Kyron T-314. Acta Pharm Sci. 2011; 53: 35-44.
13. Anirban C. Mitra, TanushriSoni, and G. R. Kiranchand (2016) Optimization of Automotive Suspension System by Design of Experiments: A Nonderivative Method. Advances in Acoustics and Vibration 2016(11):1-10